RESEARCH ARTICLE

Rates of refusal of clinical autopsies among HIV-positive decedents and an overview of autopsies in Uganda [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]

Olivie C. Namuju ¹, Richard Kwizera ¹, Robert Lukande², Katelyn A. Pastick ³, Jonee M. Taylor³, Melanie R. Nicol ⁴, David R. Boulware ³, David B. Meya ^{1,3,5}

¹1. Infectious Diseases Institute, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

²2. Department of Pathology, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

³3. Division of Infectious Diseases and International Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

⁴4. Department of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

⁵5. Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

V1 First published: 09 Nov 2021, 6:302
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17316.1>

Latest published: 01 Feb 2022, 6:302
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17316.2>

Abstract

Background: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-related mortality remains high in sub-Saharan Africa. Clinical autopsies can provide invaluable information to help ascertain the cause of death. We aimed to determine the rate and reasons for autopsy refusal amongst families of HIV-positive decedents in Uganda.

Methods: We consented the next-of-kin for post-mortem examinations among Ugandan decedents with HIV from 2017-2020 at Kiruddu National Referral Hospital. For those who refused autopsies, reasons were recorded.

Results: In this analysis, 165 decedents with HIV were included from three selected wards at Kiruddu National Referral Hospital. Autopsy was not performed in 45% of the deceased patients; the rate of autopsy refusal was 36%. The most common reasons for autopsy refusal were time constraints (30%), family satisfaction with clinical diagnosis (15%), fear of disfigurement of the remains (15%), and lack of perceived benefit (15%). By seeking consent from multiple family members and clearly explaining to them the purpose of performing the autopsy, we found a reduction in the rate of autopsy refusal among relatives of the deceased patients at this hospital compared to previous studies at the same site (36% vs. 60%).

Conclusions: We found lower rates of autopsy refusal compared to previous studies at the same site. This underscores the importance of clearly explaining the purpose of autopsies as they increase active sensitization about their relevance and dispel myths related to autopsies among the general population. Good, culturally sensitive,

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3	4
version 2				
(revision)				
01 Feb 2022				
version 1				
09 Nov 2021				

1. **Ross M. Boyce** , University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, USA
University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, USA
Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda
2. **Edwin Oloo Walong**, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda
3. **Omar K. Siddiqi** , Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda
4. **Dr Corinne L. Fligner, MD** , Mbarara

and timely explanations to the family of the benefits of autopsy increase the rate of obtaining permission. Building capacity for performing autopsies by training more pathologists and increasing laboratory resources to decrease the turn-around-time for autopsy reports and extending these services to peripheral health facilities could improve autopsy acceptance rates.

Keywords

Autopsy, postmortem changes, autopsy refusal, mortality, HIV Seropositivity, Uganda, Africa South of the Sahara, Investigative Techniques

University of Science and Technology,
Mbarara, Uganda

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Olivie C. Namuju (oliviekarugs@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Namuju OC:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Kwizera R:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Lukande R:** Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; **Pastick KA:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Taylor JM:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Nicol MR:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Boulware DR:** Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Meya DB:** Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome [107742]. This research was also supported in part by the University of Minnesota College of Pharmacy, the University of Minnesota Academic Health Center Global Health Seed Grant, the Fogarty International Center (R01NS086312, K01TW010268, and R25TW009345), the National Institute of Neurologic Diseases and Stroke (R01NS086312 and R21NS108344), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (K08AI134262), and the United Kingdom Medical Research Council/Wellcome Trust/Department for International Development (MRC MR/M0074131/1). DBM and RK are supported by the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) through a DELTAS Africa Initiative grant to THRIVE-2 [DEL-15-011]. The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the AAS's Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust [107742] and the UK government. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of AAS, NEPAD Agency, Wellcome Trust or the UK government.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2021 Namuju OC *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Namuju OC, Kwizera R, Lukande R *et al.* **Rates of refusal of clinical autopsies among HIV-positive decedents and an overview of autopsies in Uganda [version 1; peer review: 1 approved with reservations]** Wellcome Open Research 2021, 6:302 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17316.1>

First published: 09 Nov 2021, 6:302 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17316.1>

Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the burden of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and HIV-related opportunistic infections remains high^{1,2}. In 2019, approximately 690,000 people died from AIDS-related illnesses worldwide, including 440,000 in SSA and 23,000 in Uganda³, despite the increased availability of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and the recommendation to treat all HIV-positive individuals regardless of their CD4⁺ T-cell counts⁴.

Clinical autopsies consist of a thorough examination of the decedent to determine the cause of death and evaluate the mechanism of death for research, epidemiological, and/or legal purposes. Clinical autopsies give insight into the pathological processes and can determine what factors contributed to a patient's death. Verbal autopsies are commonly used in Uganda⁵, though they are often incomplete and inaccurate, and may only yield a presumed or probable cause of death⁶. Clinical autopsies are important since the clinical determination of death may not be the actual cause of death and there can be a discrepancy between the presumed clinical cause of death and the pathological cause of death. Only a clinical autopsy is specific enough to unmask those differences. Among individuals with HIV in SSA, there is a high discrepancy between clinical and autopsy diagnoses, and scientists emphasize the need for reliable information on causes of death in order to improve HIV patient care, guide further research, and inform health policy⁷. However, despite the vital role played by clinical autopsies in the development of science and practice of medicine, autopsy rates have been declining globally in recent decades⁸. The decline is attributed to various complex reasons such as costs, advances in diagnostic methods, shortage of trained pathologists and interested pathologists in autopsies, among others^{9–12}.

In Uganda, additional factors play a role in decline of number of autopsies performed, such as lack of trained personnel, a limited number of pathology services, administrative challenges in requesting autopsy, fear of mutilation, concerns about delaying the funeral, and insufficient family financial resources^{7,8}. Previous autopsy studies done in Uganda showed a 38% acceptance rate under study/research conditions and 5% under routine inpatient conditions¹³. The most frequent (59%) reason for refusing the autopsy was 'to avoid delays for the burial'.

We, therefore, conducted a study to determine the current rate of and reasons for autopsy refusal under routine inpatient conditions among decedents with HIV. We also discuss an overview on autopsies in Uganda based on our own experiences, highlighting the misconceptions, potential benefits, and challenges associated with performing autopsies in Uganda.

Methods

Study design and procedures

This was a sub-study nested under a prospective observational cohort study conducted in Uganda among inpatients on the infectious diseases, pulmonary, and emergency wards at

Kiruddu National Referral Hospital from February 2017 to August 2020¹⁴. The study included all HIV patients who died during this time frame on these three wards. We consecutively selected all eligible participants using purposive sampling during the study period without calculating sample size. Every morning as part of this study, we checked the daily ward report book to look out for any deaths of HIV infected patients. This was repeated several times during the course of the day. For any identified case, we picked the patient file from the records department using the patient's name and hospital number, then checked for the contacts of the deceased family or next of kin to call them and find out whether they were still in the hospital premises. Those who were still in the hospital premises were approached to seek for informed consent. However, the next of kin who had already left the hospital premises were called on phone to seek for autopsy verbal consent and also request them to come back and give written consent. Before consenting for study participation, we offered bereavement counseling. All of the autopsies and transport of the decedents were paid for by the study. Patients with trauma that would preclude tissue collection or impair diagnostic analysis were excluded. Patients' demographics, such as sex, age, and HIV/ART history, were recorded from hospital charts. Dates of admission and death were collected by hospital records.

For those who refused to have an autopsy performed for their deceased relative, the reasons for refusing were recorded. This was done as a non-structured interview by one of the nurses (OCN) in a private room. It involved only one open ended question, i.e., "why wouldn't you want an autopsy performed on your deceased relative?". This took about 5–10 minutes, and their responses were written on the screening log without audio/video recording.

To reduce sampling bias, we used the three wards that accommodate more than 95% of all HIV patients in this hospital. The records staff doublechecked all entries by medical staff in the daily ward report book. We carried out the study at a national referral hospital which gets patients from all over the country and could be representative of the whole country.

Ethical considerations

All caregivers/next-of-kin of the deceased patients provided written informed consent (the consent form can be found as *Extended data*¹⁵). Ethical approval occurred from the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (HS24ES), and Mulago Hospital Research and Ethics Committee (MHREC 1023).

Statistical analysis

Investigators had full access to the database population used to create the study population to extract the patients' data. Data cleaning was mainly done on the responses for "reasons for autopsy refusal" by modifying responses that mean the same to look alike. Data were then analyzed using STATA version 14 (STATA, College Station, Texas). The rate of autopsy refusal and distribution of baseline demographic characteristics were reported as proportions. Frequencies and

percentages were reported for each baseline characteristic when considered categorical, and medians (interquartile range) for continuous variables.

Results

Patients' characteristics

This analysis included 165 deceased HIV-positive patients who died while on the emergency, pulmonary, and infectious disease wards at Kiruru National Referral Hospital from February 2017 to August 2020. Of those deceased patients with available demographic data (n=119), 55% (65/119) were male with an overall median age of 37 years (n=118; IQR= 30 to 43), and 28% (43/152) were ART naïve (Table 1)¹⁶.

The median length of hospitalization for all deceased patients was 6 days (n=118; IQR= 2 to 13). Of the 165 deceased HIV-positive patients, 55% (n=90) of their relatives consented to autopsy procedures; 45% (n=75) of autopsies were not performed for refusal of autopsy amongst other various reasons (Table 2). For those who had an autopsy performed, the days to an autopsy from time of death ranged from zero (0) to one day, with the majority (76.7%) performed on the same day as the patient death (Table 1).

Rate of autopsy refusal and reasons for not performing an autopsy

Of the 165 deceased HIV patients, 75 (45.4%) did not have an autopsy performed for various reasons (Table 2). The refusal of autopsy by family members was the most common reason for not performing autopsies (36%; 27/75), followed by the deceased person having been removed from the mortuary before next-of-kin was approached for informed consent (24/75) and the absence of a pathologist (15/75). For four of the deceased patients, two reasons were given for refusal for each. The most common reasons given for autopsy refusal were; time constraints and distant location of internment; family satisfaction with the clinical diagnosis; fear of body mutilation; and many families did not perceive any benefit in having an autopsy since it would not bring back the deceased.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population.

HIV=human immunodeficiency virus; IQR=interquartile range.

Characteristics	N with data	N (%) or Median (IQR)
Men	119	65 (54.6%)
Age, years	118	37 (30, 43)
Receiving HIV therapy	152	109 (71.7%)
Length of Hospitalization, days	118	6 (2, 13)
Autopsy performed	165	90 (54.6%)
Time to autopsy, days	90	
Performed same day of death		69 (76.7%)
Performed one day following death		21 (23.3%)

Table 2. Reasons for not performing autopsy among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive persons and rate of autopsy refusal.

Reasons for not performing autopsy* (N=75)	n (%)
Family refused autopsy	27 (36%)
Death occurred at night, family departed before approached for consent, or body already embalmed by the morgue attendants	24 (32%)
Pathologist unavailable	15 (20%)
No family to consent	6 (8%)
No assistant in morgue	3 (4%)
Research-specific exclusion criteria	3 (4%)
Language barrier with the available family members	1 (1.3%)
Reasons for refusal of autopsy (n=27)	n (%)
Time constraint, inability to wait for procedure or location of burial was distant	8 (29.6%)
Family satisfied with the clinical diagnosis	4 (14.8%)
Fear of disfigurement of remains	4 (14.8%)
Family saw no direct benefit in autopsy	4 (14.8%)
Religious beliefs (e.g., Muslims do not accept their deceased bodies to be cut)	2 (7.4%)
Previous bad experience of family members with autopsy or procedures	2 (7.4%)
Lacked clear explanation regarding clinical diagnosis	1 (3.7%)
Death was expected	1 (3.7%)
No reason given	1 (3.7%)

Data presented are numbers. *For four of the patients, two reasons were given for each.

Discussion

Overview of clinical autopsies in Uganda

Clinical trials currently provide a platform for improving outcomes for individuals with HIV in Uganda^{17,18}. Therefore, combining post-mortems with clinical studies is one way to increase uptake of autopsies. In this study, we observed a lower rate of autopsy refusal (36%) under inpatient conditions compared to the previous studies in the same setting which had a higher rate (60%) during the period May–September 2009¹³. We attribute this reduction to seeking consent from responsible family members/elders other than from first-line caretakers only, a more thorough explanation of the purpose of autopsies, and reassurance to the family that no cost would be incurred for the autopsy or embalming.

For those who refused an autopsy for their deceased relative, in this study, we found that the most common reasons were due to time constraints and distant location of internment, in

addition to families' satisfaction with the clinical diagnosis of HIV and HIV-related opportunistic infections, and fear of body mutilation. Failure of families to perceive any benefit of the autopsy could partly be seen as caregivers of the deceased expressing "care-fatigue," especially when it was among relatives who had been ill for a long time. However, we posit that active sensitization about the relevance of autopsies in the general population, emphasizing the point that the clinical diagnosis may not necessarily be the cause of death, will increase the likelihood of consent for autopsies. Healthcare workers need to clearly explain the purpose of performing autopsies. The concern of body mutilation during the autopsy with some parts of the deceased being retained by the pathologist was raised by several relatives. This reason was notably greater among Muslim families. The concern for body mutilation was also noted among healthcare workers, which may influence their attitude towards requesting autopsies when patients they are treating die of unknown causes.

In Uganda today, verbal autopsies are more commonly used in peripheral health facilities based on clinical and/or confirmed laboratory diagnosis⁵. However, verbal autopsies have limitations as they are not always reliable/accurate, may be incomplete, cannot be replicated, and are often problematic with diseases that have less specific symptoms, hence only a presumed or probable cause of death may be given⁶. Despite these limitations, clinical autopsies are infrequently performed at regional and national referral hospitals in Uganda. The majority of autopsies are performed on request for either research purposes or forensic medicine. Yet, they would be useful in targeted patients' populations given the uncertainty of infectious causes of death and unknown pathologies.

Similarly, the high cost of the autopsy procedure (approximately 162 USD), is out of reach by most families who have relatives admitted in public health facilities especially given the out-of-pocket expenses incurred for treatment of the decedent. This prohibitive cost contributes to the increased rates of decline for autopsies. Having the cost of the procedure subsidized as part of healthcare costs incurred by public health care facilities could help to solve this. Assurance should be given to families that no extra charges will be encountered to perform the treatment and autopsy under study settings. Similarly, expanding the capacity of health facilities to perform autopsies in terms of having equipped morgues and more trained personnel to perform autopsies while extending these services to peripheral health centers could potentially improve autopsy acceptance rates. However, an unknown, but presumably significant, number of patients die in their communities at home without the relatives of the deceased seeking to have an autopsy or embalming services from health facilities. This practice has not only occasionally been the focal point of infectious disease epidemics, but this lack of documentation of deaths also underestimates the burden of disease in the country.

As observed in this study, there is a shortage of logistical support in terms of basic medical and laboratory supplies and

personal protective gear to favor autopsy procedures for all hospitalized who die. The small number of trained pathologists in the country is a major hindrance to autopsies since the pathologists tend to be overstretched, especially when forensic autopsies are ongoing. In most cases, morgue attendants are quick to embalm the deceased shortly after death, either due to the absence of a healthcare worker to order an autopsy or due to pressure from the family of the deceased, who typically want to leave the hospital as soon as possible to arrange the funeral. This practice does not allow sufficient time for the doctors to discuss the reasons and obtain consent for autopsies. Finally, dilapidated morgues at most public health care facilities are a deterrent to encourage autopsies at these facilities.

Misconceptions about clinical autopsies in Uganda

A number of misconceptions surround autopsies in Uganda. According to our respondents or family members, most people believe that when autopsies are performed, all internal organs are removed and replaced with cotton wool. In some communities, autopsies are completely unacceptable culturally or are perceived as taboo. Some religions, including Islam, consider autopsies as an unacceptable practice for Muslims because they believe the dead have to return whole just as they were born. There is also a myth about the deceased coming back to torment the family members because of the procedures performed on the deceased relatives' body.

Potential benefits of clinical autopsies

Autopsies can be beneficial in understanding the primary cause of death, which may be different from the clinical diagnosis. Establishing and understanding the primary cause of death, in turn, helps to improve the care of patients still living with the disease. Autopsies aid improvement in diagnosis, a better understanding of disease progression, and the development of more targeted therapies, which reduce mortality and save lives in the future. Of importance in forensic medicine, autopsies provide evidence that helps to apprehend criminals and/or by establishing the true cause of death, puts suspicions to rest. Autopsy reports are also important in enabling the next-of-kin to obtain official certification of death, which may be required as part of the administrative processes of the estate of the deceased. Autopsy reports are used as part of hospital audits to identify areas of improvement and the gaps that need to be bridged.

Challenges in consenting and performing autopsies in Uganda

It is often emotionally difficult for the nurse or doctor to talk to grieving family members, especially when a death has just occurred. Despite the lengthy consenting process involved in this study, we endeavored to provide bereavement counseling first to comfort the family before discussing the importance of performing an autopsy for their deceased relative. We learned early on that understanding family dynamics is important, given the communal setting in Uganda. In order for the consenting process to be successful, we sought consent from the first line caregivers but also ensured we identified the family

decision-makers and involved them in these discussions for the autopsies.

Additional challenges we noted were the prolonged waiting time for families at the morgue to receive the decedent and system constraints. We found the wait time to be typically 4 hours, which may be inexplicably long, creating anxiety and consternation. Kiruddu/ Mulago National Referral Hospital has about 14 pathologists only, which means the few pathologists have a heavy workload, which contributes to delayed or missing final autopsy reports. Lastly, the shortage of instruments and personal protective equipment can expose staff to occupational health hazards and are additional challenges in conducting autopsies in Uganda.

Limitations to the study

The main limitation to the study is that it was conducted at only one National Referral Hospital among HIV infected patients only, but we believe the challenges discussed here apply to the general population in the context of obtaining autopsies in most resource limited settings. Some patients included had incomplete data sets.

Conclusion

Clinical autopsies remain relevant procedures to determine the cause of death. In the current study, we observed a lower autopsy refusal rate under normal hospital conditions among HIV-positive patients in Uganda compared to previously reported rates in the same setting. By seeking consent from more family members and clearly explaining to the families the purpose of performing autopsies, we reduced the refusal rate

for autopsies among relatives of deceased patients at this hospital. Healthcare workers need to clearly explain the purpose of performing autopsies as they increase active sensitization about the relevance of autopsies and dispel misconceptions related to autopsies among the general population. Building capacity for performing autopsies by training more pathologists and laboratory resources to decrease the turn-around-time for autopsy reports and extending these services to peripheral health facilities could improve autopsy acceptance rates in Uganda.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Autopsy Data 2020 CLEANED (2).xlsx. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16929499.v1>¹⁶.

Extended data

Figshare: Approved English consent 2020.pdf. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16904731.v1>¹⁵.

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Acknowledgements

We thank the institutional support from the IDI and the Meningitis team. We also thank Kizito A. Musana, Ambayo Richard, Lenard Chemusito, and Paul Kirumira for sample transportation and processing. We confirm that people mentioned here have given permission to be named.

References

- Rubaihayo J, Tumwesigye NM, Konde-Lule J, et al.: **Frequency and distribution patterns of opportunistic infections associated with HIV/AIDS in Uganda.** *BMC Res Notes*. 2016; **9**(1): 501. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Holmes CB, Losina E, Walensky RP, et al.: **Review of human immunodeficiency virus type 1-related opportunistic infections in sub-Saharan Africa.** *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003; **36**(5): 652–62. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- UNAIDS: **Global HIV & AIDS statistics — 2020 fact sheet.** 2020. [Reference Source](#)
- Bigna JJ, Plottel CS, Koulla-Shiro S: **Challenges in initiating antiretroviral therapy for all HIV-infected people regardless of CD4 cell count.** *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2016; **5**(1): 85. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Nabukalu D, Ntaro M, Seviiri M, et al.: **Community health workers trained to conduct verbal autopsies provide better mortality measures than existing surveillance: Results from a cross-sectional study in rural western Uganda.** *PLoS One*. 2019; **14**(2): e0211482. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- WHO: **Potential and limits of verbal autopsies.** *Bull World Health Organ*. Report No.: 05-029124 Contract No.: 3, 2006; **84**(3): 164. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cox JA, Lukande RL, Lucas S, et al.: **Autopsy causes of death in HIV-positive individuals in sub-Saharan Africa and correlation with clinical diagnoses.** *AIDS Rev*. 2010; **12**(4): 183–94. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Oluwasola OA, Fawole OI, Otegbayo AJ, et al.: **The autopsy: knowledge, attitude, and perceptions of doctors and relatives of the deceased.** *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2009; **133**(1): 78–82. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lundberg GJAop, medicine I: **Medicine without the autopsy.** 1984; **108**(6): 449.
- Geller SA: **Who will perform my autopsy?** *Autops Case Rep*. 2014; **4**(2): 1–3. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hamza A: **Perception of pathology residents about autopsies: results of a mini survey.** *Autops Case Rep*. 2018; **8**(2): e2018016. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hamza A: **Stance of pathology residents on declining number of autopsies.** *Autops Case Rep*. 2018; **8**(1): e2018007. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cox JA, Lukande RL, Kateregga A, et al.: **Autopsy acceptance rate and reasons for decline in Mulago Hospital, Kampala, Uganda.** *Trop Med Int Health*. 2011; **16**(8): 1015–8. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nicol MR, Pastick KA, Taylor J, et al.: **Cerebrospinal Fluid and Brain Tissue Penetration of Tenofovir, Lamivudine, and Efavirenz in Postmortem Tissues with Cryptococcal Meningitis.** *Clin Transl Sci*. 2019; **12**(5): 445–9. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kwizera R: **Approved English consent 2020.pdf.** *figshare*. Online resource, 2021. <http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16904731.v1>
- Kwizera R: **Autopsy Data 2020 CLEANED (2).xlsx.** *figshare*. Dataset, 2021. <http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16929499.v1>
- Frances Ndyetukira J, Kwizera R, Kugonza F, et al.: **The conundrum of clinical trials and standard of care in sub-Saharan Africa - the research nurse perspective.** *J Res Nurs*. 2019; **24**(8): 649–60. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ahimbisibwe C, Kwizera R, Ndyetukira JF, et al.: **Management of amphotericin-induced phlebitis among HIV patients with cryptococcal meningitis in a resource-limited setting: a prospective cohort study.** *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019; **19**(1): 558. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ?

Version 1

Reviewer Report 16 November 2021

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19144.r47002>

© 2021 Boyce R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ross M. Boyce

¹ Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

² Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

³ Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda

The submitted manuscript reports the rate of clinical autopsies performed among HIV-positive decedants at the national referral hospital in Uganda, while also exploring reasons that autopsies were not performed, including both administrative/logistical issues and the stated rationale of next-of-kin. Understanding cause of death is an important, albeit somewhat neglected, topic both for routine disease surveillance and clinical/epidemiological research and the authors should be commended for nesting this work within the parent study. The manuscript is well organized and written. The results are straightforward and presented in a clear manner. The conclusions are generally appropriate to the results presented, although I believe the generalizability of the findings may be more limited than the authors suggest, as noted in my comments below.

Major Comments:

1. The study site, located in close proximity to the capital city and co-located with the most prestigious university/medical school, is the most capable and well-resourced public health facility in Uganda. While the authors state that MNRH has "only" 14 pathologists, I suspect that the majority of regional referral centers, district hospitals, and other such facilities that care for inpatients have much fewer, if any, trained pathologists. Thus, the findings are likely to be a best-case estimate of autopsy rates, especially in regard to the issue of overburdened clinical staff, which accounted for ~25% of autopsy non-performance.
2. Similarly, I suspect that study methodology in which study staff checked inpatient registers for deaths, actively contacted next-of-kin, offered bereavement counseling, and communicated that no costs would be incurred all positively impacted the rate of autopsy acceptance. This is a relatively unavoidable consequence of the study design (and

appropriate to ethical requirements), but again, probably overestimates the "real world" (i.e., non-study) rate of autopsy. This should be mentioned in the limitations section.

3. "We carried out the study at a national referral hospital which gets patients from all over the country and could be representative of the whole country." Without data, this is largely speculation. It is well documented that distance/travel burden substantially impacts the ability to pursue higher-level care. In contrast to the statement, I would suspect that most participants were from the immediate environs with a substantial decrease as one moves further from MNRH (i.e., distance decay). Those who were from a greater distance were likely those with more resources available to make the trip and thus are likely to be different. If data is available on district of residence of participants, I would consider including to support (or refute) this assertion.

Minor Comments:

1. Methods
 - Unclear if consent in the sentence. "Those who were still in the hospital premises were approached to seek for informed consent" refers to consent for the study or consent for the autopsy?
 - Would be helpful if there was some description of how the process of autopsies is done in routine practice (i.e., outside of this study).
2. Discussion
 - "This reason was notably greater among Muslim families." The stratified data (by religion) to support this conclusion is not presented.

Questions / Suggestions:

1. Any reason to think that issues relating to HIV stigma may have influenced family decision to pursue autopsy?
2. Would be valuable to get feedback on Next-of-Kin / Family experiences with autopsy when performed. In other words, did they find it useful or informative? Did it provide closure? This could be helpful in informing future interventions.
3. It appears that at least a portion of the study period took place during the COVID-19 pandemic. Was there any difference, statistically or anecdotally in the rates or reason for non-performance during the pandemic potentially as a result of fear or national lock downs?
4. The Discussion section outlines many of the current challenges in achieving a high rate of autopsies, but would benefit from further discussion of potential ways/interventions to improve the rate in routine practice.
5. Are non-physician professionals (i.e., clinical officers) who might be able to be trained to perform clinical autopsies?

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Malaria, Vector-borne diseases, Infectious diseases epidemiology, surveillance

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 10 Jan 2022

Olivie Carolyne Namuju

Following these helpful comments from the reviewer, we made a number of tracked changes in v1 of the text. Nationally, the number of Anatomical Pathologists in the country is low and indeed those that are actively involved in autopsy work is low. Yes, the autopsy work in the Regional Referral and District Hospitals is handled by Medical Officers (MOs) who are not Specialist Pathologists. The Anatomical Pathologists are at the National Referral Hospital and in the Medical School. The findings are indeed a reflection of what happens at the national referral hospital level. Yes, the study methodology could have overestimated the rate of autopsy observed. This has been added to the limitations. The fact that the hospital admits patients across the entire country and of whom were enrolled into the study, we assumed this gives a general representation of those from a greater distance. Consent is always obtained from the next-of-kin that are available on the wards after the death has occurred. Also, consent to the study and consent to autopsy are the same thing in this context. We have also added a brief description of the autopsy procedure outside the study settings, in routine practice. Analysis was not stratified by religion. This has been modified in the text. People tend to associate stigma more with the living than the dead. Next of kin were given verbal feedback after the autopsy was done. It provided closure for most of them especially those whose patients died after a few days of hospitalisation. Yes, there was an increased challenge during the pandemic time mainly because of the difficulties with getting transportation that made next of kin always to be in a hurry before curfew hours. Increasing public awareness on the importance of autopsies preferably

through the media would be a solution. Currently in Uganda, autopsies are conducted by Medical Officers and Pathologists. No other cadre is permitted to do otherwise.

Competing Interests: None