STUDY PROTOCOL

Assessment of the impact of heart failure on household economic well-being: a protocol [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Sivadasanpillai Harikrishnan¹, Sanjay Ganapathi¹, Salim Reethu¹, Ajay Bahl², Anand Katageri³, Animesh Mishra⁴, Anoop George Alex⁵, Bhavesh Roy⁶, Bishav Mohan⁷, Hasit Joshi⁸, Jabir Abdullakutty⁹, Justin Paul ¹⁰, Maneesh Rai¹¹, Cholenahally Manjunath¹², Prakash C. Negi¹³, Durgaprasad Rajasekhar¹⁴, Rishi Sethi ¹⁵, Satyanarayan Routray¹⁶, Radhakrishnan Shanmugasundaram ¹⁷, Sumanta Shekhar Padhi¹⁸, Shyam Sunder Reddy P¹⁹, Panniyammakal Jeemon ¹

¹ICRM Centre for Advanced Research and Excellence In Heart Failure (CARE-HF), Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum, India

²Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

³Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research, Kalaburagi, India

⁴North Eastern Indira Gandhi Regional Institute of Health and Medical Sciences, Shillong, India

⁵Christian Medical College Hospital, Vellore, India

⁶Zydus Hospital, Ahmedabad, India

⁷Dayanand Medical College Hospital, Ludhiana, India

⁸Apollo Hospitals International Ltd, Gandhinagar, India

⁹Lisie Hospital, Ernakulam, India

¹⁰Madras Medical College, Chennai, India

¹¹KMC Hospital, Mangaluru, India

¹²Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research, Bengaluru, India

¹³Indira Gandhi Medical College Hospital, Shillong, India

¹⁴Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences, Tirupati, India

¹⁵King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India

¹⁶SCB Medical College, Cuttack, India

¹⁷PSG Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Coimbatore, India

¹⁸MMI Narayana Multispeciality Hospital, Raipur, India

¹⁹Kims Hospitals Kondapur, Hyderabad, India

V1 First published: 30 Jun 2021, 6:167
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16709.1>

Latest published: 09 Nov 2021, 6:167
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16709.2>

Abstract

Background: Heart failure (HF), which is an emerging public health issue, adversely affects the strained health system in India. Additionally, the adverse impact of HF on the economic well-being of affected individuals and their families has been narrated in various anecdotal reports, with affected individuals and their dependents pushed into poverty. However, there is limited research quantifying how HF impacts the economic well-being of households from low- and

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

1

2

version 2

(revision)

09 Nov 2021

middle-income countries.

Methods: We describe the methods of a detailed economic impact assessment of HF at the household level in India. The study will be initiated across 20 hospitals in India. The selected centres represent different regions in India stratified based on the prevailing stages of epidemiological transition levels (ETLs). We will collect data from 1800 patients with acute decompensated HF and within 6-15 months follow-up from the time of initial admission. The data that we intend to collect will consist of a) household healthcare expenditure including out-of-pocket expenditure, b) financing mechanisms used by households and (c) the impoverishing effects of health expenditures including distress financing and catastrophic health expenditure. Trained staff at each centre will collect relevant data by using a validated and structured interview schedule. The study will have 80% power to detect an 8% difference in the proportion of households experiencing catastrophic health expenditures between two ETL groups. After considering a non-response rate of 5%, the target sample size is approximately 600 patients from each group and the total sample size is 1800 patients with heart failure.

Impact: The results from our study will help policy makers in understanding the micro-economic impact of HF in India and aid in allocation of appropriate resources for prevention and control of HF.

Keywords

Heart failure, catastrophic health expenditure, distress financing, out of the pocket expenditure

This article is included in the [Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance](#) gateway.

	1	2
version 1		
30 Jun 2021	view	view
1. Hisham Moosan , Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India		
2. Santhosh Koshy , Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, USA		
Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.		

Corresponding author: Panniyammakal Jeemon (jeemon@sctimst.ac.in)

Author roles: **Harikrishnan S:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ganapathi S:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Reethu S:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Bahl A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Katageri A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mishra A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Alex AG:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Roy B:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mohan B:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Joshi H:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Abdullakutty J:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Paul J:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Rai M:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Manjunath C:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Negi PC:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Rajasekhar D:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Sethi R:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Routray S:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Shanmugasundaram R:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Padhi SS:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Reddy P SS:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Jeemon P:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Indian Council of medical research (ICMR), New Delhi, India, towards Centre for Advanced Research and Excellence (CARE) in Heart Failure, File No. 55/4/5/CARE-HF/2018-NCD-II. Panniyammakal Jeemon was supported by the Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance Fellowship [IA/CPHI/14/1/501497].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2021 Harikrishnan S *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Harikrishnan S, Ganapathi S, Reethu S *et al.* **Assessment of the impact of heart failure on household economic well-being: a protocol [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** Wellcome Open Research 2021, 6:167 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16709.1>

First published: 30 Jun 2021, 6:167 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16709.1>

Introduction

Globally, non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are one of the major causes of death and disability. Among NCDs, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is responsible for a major share of premature deaths and disability¹. Additionally, the burden of CVD is expected to grow in the coming years especially in the low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)². Since LMICs have the dual burden of disease – both from communicable and non-communicable diseases – NCDs exert a tremendous strain on the already challenged health systems³.

Heart failure (HF) is a major contributor to the CVD burden, which consumes about 2% of the total health expenditure in many high-income countries⁴. Available data suggest that HF is emerging as an important public health problem in LMICs. Given the resource intensive nature of HF management, the treatment is unaffordable to majority of the population in India, where the out-of-pocket spending for health ranges from 60–80%^{5,6}. The effect of the growing burden of disease due to CVD including HF is not limited to the health sector but threatens the macro and micro economy as well. For example, one-third of CVD deaths occur in the working and child-rearing age populations². Additionally, HF occurs in India a decade earlier and in the most productive life years as compared to high-income countries^{7,8}. Early mortality and disability in the productive life years due to HF is a loss to a nation's productivity and negatively affects the economic conditions of households. Exceptionally low utilisation of device therapy is noted in Indian patients with HF⁹. One of the reasons for low uptake of guideline directed device therapy could be non-affordability of the treatment options. However, there is limited research quantifying how HF impacts the economic well-being of households in India⁹.

We propose to conduct a study to assess the economic impact of HF at the individual and household level. The proposed study will specifically examine the effect of affliction with HF and related disability experienced by households on their economic well-being. We will assess (a) household healthcare expenditure including out-of-pocket expenditure, (b) financing mechanisms used by households, (c) impoverishing effects of health expenditures, and (d) effect of HF on household income, productivity and functional limitations. We will examine each of the above items across socioeconomic and age groups, family size, and area of residence.

Methods

Ethical considerations

Our study is approved by the institutional ethics committee (IEC) of the Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum (SCT/IEC/1313.6/DECMBER-2018). Further, we will obtain approval from the IECs of individual participating centres before the commencement of the study. Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants.

Study settings

The study will be developed under the platform of the National Heart Failure Registry (NHFR). The details of NHFR are published elsewhere¹⁰. Briefly, 54 hospitals from different states of India are participating and recruiting patients in the NHFR. They register consecutive HF patients admitted in their hospitals during the study period of 3 years. Each participating centre is expected to register about 180 patients as part of NHFR.

We divided the NHFR sites into three groups based on the epidemiological transitional level (ETL) of their respective states. The ETL state groups are based on the ratio of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) from communicable, maternal, neonatal, and nutritional diseases to those from non-communicable diseases and injuries combined in 2016. The ETL is divided into 4 categories; the states with ratios of 0.56–0.75 (low ETLs), 0.41–0.55 (lower-middle ETLs), 0.31–0.40 (higher-middle ETLs), and less than 0.31 (high ETLs). For our study, we will group the low and lower-middle ETL (0.41–0.75) into one group, and retained the higher-middle ETL (0.31–0.40) and high ETL (<0.31) categories^{3,10}. From each of the three selected regions based on the ETL classification, 6–8 hospitals will be identified. We will purposively select hospitals in both public and private domains (n=20) to give representation to both health sectors. The Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum (SCTIMST), will act as the national coordinating centre for the study. The details of the participating centres are given in Table 1 in the extended data¹¹.

Selection of study participants

The data will be collected from 90 consecutive patients enrolled into the NHFR from each of the selected 20 centres. Heart failure patients who have their routine clinical follow-up appointment 6–15 months after the date of index-admission will be eligible to take part in the study. The restriction of follow-up period after the acute admission date will enable us to collect the in-patient costs, procedure costs, and outpatient costs from all study participants. Further, it will also reduce the memory recall bias.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We will use the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2016 guidelines for the diagnosis of HF¹².

The inclusion criteria for the study will be:

- 1) Patients aged between 18 and 70 years
- 2) Patients who had their first heart failure admission between 6 to 15 months before survey date
- 3) Resident of India

The following types of patients will be excluded from the study:

- 1) Patients who did not provide consent

2) Patients with concomitant illnesses such as:

- Malignancy
- Chronic systemic illness – haematological, collagen vascular and other immunological diseases, chronic infections on treatment / prophylaxis
- Primary renal disease on dialysis
- Post-cardiac transplantation patients
- Concurrent HIV, hepatotropic viral infections on treatment.

Patients who meet the above inclusion criteria will be identified in advance from the NHFR.

Study tools

We adapted the existing tools used in a previous economic impact assessment study conducted in India¹³ for other CVD outcomes. The domains covered under the questionnaire were as follows:

1. Demographic characteristics
2. Patient heart failure disease history and treatment expenditures
3. Assessment of functionality and productivity
4. Household characteristics
5. Household expenditure
6. Household assets

Validation of the questionnaire. The modified version of the questionnaire used by Huffman *et al.*¹³ in LMIC was used by Daivadanam *et al.*⁵ for a similar study on acute coronary syndromes in Trivandrum, Kerala. We modified the study tool used by Daivadanam *et al.* for assessing the micro-economic impact at the family level for HF patients in India. The modified questionnaire was subjected to a detailed validation process described below.

Face validity. In order to ensure face validity, the questionnaire was given to a public health expert, practicing cardiologists (n=3), health policy expert (n=1) and heart failure patients of varying socio-economic class (n=5). Both regional languages and English versions were submitted to experts for ensuring translational validity. The questionnaire was subsequently piloted in 10 patients or their care givers and observed the response to each item. We removed four questions after the process of checking the face validity. Additionally, we added six questions based on expert's opinion, modified and adapted eight questions and removed two questions that were not relevant to the current settings (the questions modified and adapted in the new questionnaire can be found in Table 2 in the extended data¹¹). Once the questionnaire in English and Malayalam were finalised, the English version was sent for translation to other Indian languages, depending upon the states, where the recruitment is planned.

Translation to regional languages. The questionnaire was translated to nine different Indian languages. Translation was done by an agency who is well versed in the field (TransLingua translational services, Bangalore, India). We aimed to achieve conceptual equivalence of a word or a phrase, and not a word-for-word translation. The meaning of sentences was adapted and translated to give the best meaning in a simple, clear and understandable manner. For achieving semantic equivalence, the translated versions were given to a group of language experts who were asked to rephrase every question in their own words or to narrate what they understood from each question. Additionally, each item in the regional language version was back translated to English by an independent person. The back translated English versions were checked for consistency. Conflicts were resolved by repeating this cycle one more time. Each item in the translated and back-translated questionnaires underwent strict verification and necessary corrections were done until both versions became agreeably consistent. The final version in each language will be used for data collection from the respective participating centres. The questionnaires can be found as extended data¹¹.

Primary outcomes

Various indicators relating to the economic impact of HF on households will be estimated using data from the sample population. One important indicator is the proportion of households experiencing catastrophic health expenditures. Of primary interest is how the indicator of interest differs according to ETL group in a statistically significant way. It is important to ensure that there are adequate numbers of patients in each of the three ETL groups to be able to detect statistically significant differences between groups.

Sample size calculation

Assuming a Type-1 error of 5%, power of 0.80, 5% non-response rate, and 33% of the sample from each of the three ETL groups, 1800 patients (600 from each ETL groups) are required to detect an 8% difference in the primary outcome between any two ETL groups.

The expected rates of primary outcomes will be 50% and 60% for catastrophic health expenditure and distress financing, respectively^{5,13}.

Data collection

Participants will be interviewed when they come to the outpatient department for follow-up. Written informed consent will be taken from the patient, prior to collection of data. Trained staff will administer the structured interview schedule. The data collection will be done in printed paper form from participating centres (hospitals) and the data will be entered in KoBoToolbox in the coordinating centre in order to avoid errors in data entry. The data will be protected for privacy with the following standard precautions. We will assign a unique identification number to all participating centres and for each enrolled patient, so that they will be further identified only by that number. Individual patient identification details will be collected and maintained only at the participating centres.

For the ease of operation, a procedural manual was developed and circulated to each participating centres.

Administration and management

All relevant documents will be sent to study centres for necessary ethics clearance and permissions. Designated staff will recruit patients, collect relevant data, by using either a paper questionnaire or the online platform. The collected data will be transmitted to the coordinating centre for further scrutiny. It will be verified for accuracy and completeness by the coordinator centre. Further, the coordinator centre will contact each participating centre weekly to get necessary updates of data collection and give necessary instructions to improve and maintain data quality. All the data entry queries will be answered and resolved by the coordinator centre. The Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology (SCTIMST) will act as the study coordinating centre.

Data management and analysis

The data will be entered and analysed using statistical package [SPSS](#) version 17. Data analyses will be initiated only after data cleaning, quality checks and after identifying missing variables. We will generate a listing of data queries for the participating centres to resolve data-related issues on a monthly basis. The data will be saved in in-house server of SCTIMST. The authorised authority to view data will be the principal investigator and any other person as authorised by the principal investigator. A database lock will be employed to finalize the data set before the final statistical analyses. No statistical analyses will be conducted before the database lock, and no modification of data will be allowed after the database lock.

In order to describe the patient characteristics, the categorical variables will be presented as proportions. The distribution of the continuous variables will be checked, and normal distribution will be ensured before applying any parametric tests. Continuous variables will be presented as means with standard deviation (SD). If the continuous variables are not normally distributed, they will be presented as median with interquartile range (IQR). We will conduct descriptive analyses to explain the characteristics of the study population stratified by gender and location.

The key outcome variables will be compared across region, gender, income groups, types of hospitals etc. We will employ Chi Square test to compare proportions across study groups. Similarly, the difference in mean will be compared using independent t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate. Multi-variate logistic regression models will be employed to understand the factors associated with outcome variable. The outcome variables are explained below.

- a) Total out-of-pocket (OPP) expenditure on health; includes all direct and some indirect health-related expenses incurred. Total OPP expenditures will include (a) direct costs of HF treatment: hospital fees, drugs, consultations, investigations, supplies, informal payment; and

(b) indirect costs of HF treatment: travel, food, foregone wages, attendant costs. Reimbursements from third-party payers will be estimated and deducted.

- b) Expenditures on HF treatment; includes direct and indirect expenditures related to HF diagnosis and treatment. Expenditure on HF treatment in the past 6 months will include (a) direct costs of HF treatment: hospital fees, drugs, consultations, investigations, supplies, informal payment; and (b) indirect costs of HF treatment: travel, food, foregone wages, attendant costs. Reimbursements from third-party payers will be estimated and deducted.
- c) Distress financing: When faced with health shocks or high health expenditures, households engage in distress financing. This includes borrowing money from relatives/friends, selling assets (e.g. land) or taking loans. We will calculate the proportion of households within distress financing and gather their source of financing health care.
- d) Catastrophic health expenditure: It will be estimated using the formula given below. A household's health spending is considered catastrophic if annual out-of-pocket health expenditures comprise 40% or more of capacity to pay. Capacity to pay (CTP) refers to the non-subsistence expenditure which is the difference between the Total House-Hold Expenditure (THHE) of a household and their subsistence expenditure (SE). The catastrophic health expenditure calculation is described in detail in [Figure 1](#).

Based on the data obtained from the questionnaire, individuals will be assigned to specific income/consumption groups. Households will be categorized into three socioeconomic status (SES) groups; low, middle and high at the time of analysis. Thus, each sampled patient will, according to their per capita household income, be placed in one of three income groups. The socioeconomic distribution of the indicators of interest across economic groups will be also examined.

Discussion

There is limited data from LMICs on disease burden, and the economic burden of HF to households. We will generate national representative data of micro-economic burden due to HF from 1800 patients. The data generated from this project will be useful for policy makers and planners in allocation of resources and devising strategies to reduce out-of-pocket expenditure due to HF in India.

Dissemination

After completing the data analysis, the team will publish key-findings in academic journals related to cardiology, health economics and outcome research. A project report will be presented to Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) for review and further dissemination through their web-portal and network. Policy briefs on study findings and their implications will be developed and circulated to policy makers at the state, regional and national level.

Figure 1. Steps in calculating catastrophic health expenditure. *FE=Food expenditure, THHE=Total household expenditure, SE=Subsistence expenditure, THE=Total health expenditure.

Study status

The data collection for the study started in September 2019. The investigators are planning to complete the data collection by end of 2021.

Data availability

Underlying data

No data are associated with this article.

Extended data

Figshare: Assessment of the Impact of Heart Failure on Household Economic Well-being: Background and Methods-study proforma. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14747976.v2>

This project contains the following extended data:

- Economic impact questionnaire (3).docx (Questionnaire

in English, list of participating centres, questions modified and adapted in the new questionnaire)

- CARE HF EC IM Tool_2.0-Gujarati.pdf
- CARE HF EC IM Tool_2.0-Hindi.pdf
- CARE HF ECONOMIC IMPACT Tool_2.0-Tamil.pdf
- CARE HF ECONOMIC IMPACT Tool_2.0-Kannada.pdf
- CARE HF ECONOMIC IMPACT Tool_2.0-Oriya.pdf
- CARE HF ECONOMIC IMPACT Tool_2.0-Telugu.pdf
- CARE_HF_ECONOMIC IMPACT Tool_2.0-Punjabi.pdf
- CARE-HF-ECONOMIC IMPACT_MALAYALAM (1).pdf

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

- Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, *et al.*: **Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019.** *Lancet.* 2020; **396**(10258): 1204-1222. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Sivadasanpillai H, Leeder SR, Huffman M, *et al.*: **A race against time: The challenge of cardiovascular diseases in developing economies.** 2015. [Reference Source](#)
- India State-Level Disease Burden Initiative Collaborators: **Nations within a nation: variations in epidemiological transition across the states of India, 1990-2016 in the Global Burden of Disease Study.** *Lancet.* 2017; **390**(10111): 2437-2460. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lesyuk W, Kriza C, Kolominsky-Rabas P: **Cost-of-illness studies in heart failure: A systematic review 2004-2016.** *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2018; **18**(1): 74. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Daivadanam M, Thankappan KR, Sarma PS, *et al.*: **Catastrophic health**

- expenditure & coping strategies associated with acute coronary syndrome in Kerala, India. *Indian J Med Res.* 2012; **136**(4): 585–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Pandey A, Ploubidis GB, Clarke L, *et al.*: Trends in catastrophic health expenditure in india: 1993 to 2014. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2018; **96**(1): 18–28.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 7. Sanjay G, Jeemon P, Agarwal A, *et al.*: In-Hospital and Three-Year Outcomes of Heart Failure Patients in South India: The Trivandrum Heart Failure Registry. *J Card Fail.* 2018; **24**(12): 842–848.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 8. Harikrishnan S, Sanjay G, Anees T, *et al.*: Clinical presentation, management, in-hospital and 90-day outcomes of heart failure patients in Trivandrum, Kerala, India: the Trivandrum Heart Failure Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015; **17**(8): 794–800.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 9. Pillai HS, Ganapathi S: Heart failure in South Asia. *Curr Cardiol Rev.* 2013; **9**(2): 102–111.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 10. Harikrishnan S, Bahl A, Roy A, *et al.*: National Heart Failure Registry, India: Design and methods, *Indian Heart Journal Indian Heart J.* 2019; **71**(6): 488–491.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 11. Harikrishnan S, Ganapathi S, Salim R, *et al.*: Assessment of the Impact of Heart Failure on Household Economic Well-being: Background and Methods-study proforma. *figshare.* Journal contribution. 2021.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14747976.v2>
 12. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, *et al.*: 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J.* 2016; **37**(27): 2129–2200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 13. Huffman MD, Rao KD, Pichon-Riviere A, *et al.*: A cross-sectional study of the microeconomic impact of cardiovascular disease hospitalization in four low- and middle-income countries. *PLoS One.* 2011; **6**(6): e20821.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 04 October 2021

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.18426.r44702>

© 2021 Koshy S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Santhosh Koshy

Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, Texas, USA

The article just describes the hypothesis and methodology of a study that is being planned to be conducted. The article does not include analysis of any data and hence should be considered primarily as a descriptive manuscript. It would have been better if the hypothesis and methodology were published along with the data analysis and conclusion.

The hypothesis of the study is not well understood. It is understood that the comparison is made between two ETL groups as described in the method section. Is the hypothesis that the higher ETL levels have less economic impact with the disease? The actual hypothesis that is being tested should be clearly mentioned in the manuscript.

The impact section of the manuscript is misleading and sounds as if there was a conclusion already made that would help policy makers to create policies. I would recommend rewriting the last paragraph in Abstract as 'conclusion' (rather than titling it as impact) and mention that the intent for this publication is to detail the hypothesis and methodology of a large-scale multi-center high impact study that is planned.

Since this is an open-label study, authors have to detail the potential bias that can occur and what are the steps taken to mitigate the impact of bias. There may be an intent to amplify the actual economic impact.

Other suggestions:

- The following sentence in the Introduction is redundant. "For example, one-third of CVD deaths occur in the working and child-rearing age populations²."
- I would recommend rewording the sentences in the introduction as "Exceptionally low utilisation of device therapy is noted in Indian patients with HF is likely related to non-affordability due to financial constraints⁹." Then delete the sentence "One of the reasons for low uptake of guideline-directed device therapy could be non-affordability of the treatment

options."

- I would recommend rewording the sentence in the introduction to "The proposed study will specifically examine the impact of HF and related disabilities on household economic well-being."
- I would recommend reviewing the manuscript again and condense/concise the writing as much as possible to make it easy for the reader.

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Intravascular imaging, heart failure, Interventional cardiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 01 Nov 2021

Panniyammakal Jeemon, Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum, India

Thank you very much for approving our manuscript and sending the detailed comments. Please find below our detailed point-by-point response to the comments.

Comment: The article just describes the hypothesis and methodology of a study that is being planned to be conducted. The article does not include analysis of any data and hence should be considered primarily as a descriptive manuscript. It would have been better if the hypothesis and methodology were published along with the data analysis and conclusion.

Response: This is a protocol paper and we will publish the results of data analysis after the completion of the study. This has been clearly stated in the title of the study.

Comment: The hypothesis of the study is not well understood. It is understood that the comparison is made between two ETL groups as described in the method section.

Is the hypothesis that the higher ETL levels have less economic impact with the disease? The actual hypothesis that is being tested should be clearly mentioned in the manuscript.

Response: We divided the study sites into three groups based on the epidemiological transitional level (ETL) of their respective states. Our primary interest is to identify how the indicators of economic wellbeing differs according to ETL groups.

Comment: The impact section of the manuscript is misleading and sounds as if there was a conclusion already made that would help policy makers to create policies. I would recommend rewriting the last paragraph in Abstract as 'conclusion' (rather than titling it as impact) and mention that the intent for this publication is to detail the hypothesis and methodology of a large-scale multi-center high impact study that is planned.

Response: To avoid confusion we changed the "impact" section to "Potential impact". In a protocol paper we do not normally provide a "Conclusion" section. We have also modified the paragraph to avoid any confusion. The revised paragraph is as follows:
"Our study will provide information on catastrophic health spending, distress financing and household expenditure in heart failure patients. Our findings will help policy makers in understanding the micro-economic impact of HF in India and aid in allocation of appropriate resources for prevention and control of HF".

Comment: Since this is an open-label study, authors have to detail the potential bias that can occur and what are the steps taken to mitigate the impact of bias. There may be an intent to amplify the actual economic impact.

Response: As this is a cross sectional study it will have all the possible biases encountered in a cross-sectional study. The patients for this study will be recruited from the hospital registered in the national heart failure registry. Therefore, patients visiting other hospitals and patients in the community who may not seek care due to various reasons will be excluded from this study.

Comment: The following sentence in the Introduction is redundant. "For example, one-third of CVD deaths occur in the working and child-rearing age populations."

Response: This sentence has been removed from the manuscript.

Comment: I would recommend rewording the sentences in the introduction as "Exceptionally low utilisation of device therapy is noted in Indian patients with HF is likely related to non-affordability due to financial constraints." Then delete the sentence "One of the reasons for low uptake of guideline-directed device therapy could be non-affordability of the treatment options."

Response: We have modified the statement as suggested by the reviewer.

Comment: I would recommend rewording the sentence in the introduction to "The proposed study will specifically examine the impact of HF and related disabilities on household economic wellbeing."

Response: We have modified the statement as suggested by the reviewer.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 23 August 2021

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.18426.r45363>

© 2021 Moosan H. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hisham Moosan

Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, Delhi, India

A few suggestions for the authors;

In the Abstract:

- It may be good to mention the usage of the NFHR platform, as mentioned in the study settings.

In the Sample size section:

- All are expressed in percentages, except for power, which is shown as a proportion of 1. A uniform usage would seem more appropriate.

In the Data collection section:

- "the data will be entered in KoBo Toolbox" seems a little incorrect. Is it that the data will be entered into electronic forms, created using KoboToolBox?

In the Administration and management section:

- It is said that - "*Designated staff will recruit patients, **collect** relevant data, **by using either a paper questionnaire or the online platform***". Whereas in the earlier Data collection section it is mentioned "*The data collection **will be done in printed paper form from participating centres** (hospitals) and the data will be entered in **KoBoToolbox** in the coordinating centre in order to avoid errors in data entry.*" Though it is slightly understandable that the former quote may have been referring to both the data collection and entry process, it may be best to reword it slightly to avoid confusion.

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Epidemiology, Health Economics, Qualitative Research, health Policy and Systems Research

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 01 Nov 2021

Panniyammakal Jeemon, Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Trivandrum, India

Thank you very much for approving our manuscript. We have added in the abstract that the study sites are part of the National Heart Failure Registry. We have also changed power in the sample size statement from 0.8 to 80%. The comment on the data entry using **KoboToolBox** is accepted and we have made appropriate changes in the manuscript text.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.